

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/030,896	03/04/2002	Bruno Egner-Walter	VEP-501-A	3844
7590 03/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Andrew R Basile			GRAHAM, GARY K	
Young & Basile Suite 624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3001 West Big Beaver Road			1744	
Troy, MI 48084			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

15.		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/030,896	EGNER-WALTER, BRUNO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary K Graham	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the G	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practi	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	Evenings
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression is accomplicated to be accomplicated to be accomplicated as a complex control of the expression is accomplicated to be accomplicated to be accomplicated as a complex control of the expression is accomplicated to be accomplicated as a complex control of the expression is accomplicated to be accomplicated to be accomplicated as a control of the expression is accomplicated to be accomplicated to be accomplicated to be accomplicated to be accomplicated as a control of the expression is accomplicated to be acc	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12112001.	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	- ,

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 11, 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, line 2, having the claim depend from claim 4 is improper. A claim cannot depend from itself. The claim has been treated as though it depends from claim 1.

In claim 11, line 4, there is no antecedent basis for "the underside" and "the remaining part".

In claim 13, line 2, there is no antecedent basis for "its projection". No projection has been set forth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1744

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11-14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smithers (U.S patent 3,088,155).

The patent to Smithers discloses the invention as is claimed. Note figure 2 which shows a profiled airfoil cover (10) which is mounted to protruding parts of both of the splines (14) as is claimed. The cover is of flexible plastics and is considered to be an "airfoil", at least in the broadest sense.

With respect to claim 6, the grooves of Smithers are considered to define "catches" at least as far as is claimed.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson (U.S patent 3,372,422).

The patent to Anderson discloses the invention as is claimed. Note component (14) which acts as a cover for the splines (13). Such cover engages a protruding portion of the splines outside of the grooves (12) and covers a portion of the splines. Note figure 4 wherein it can be seen that the cover engages a longitudinal side of a portion (6) of the wiper blade body (3). Also, note projection (20) that engages in notch (8) in the spline.

With respect to claim 14, the wiper blade body has first and second airfoil surfaces on an upper side thereof (pair of slanted surfaces, note figure 5).

Art Unit: 1744

With respect to claim 15, note that a surface of the cover is adjacent to or adjoins the surface on the blade body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smithers (U.S patent 3,088,155) in view of French patent 27479977).

The patent to Smithers discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of a fluid passage provided on the cover and such passage being coupled to a fluid source.

The French patent discloses an airfoil "cover" (10) for coupling with the wiper blade (12). Such cover includes a fluid passage (26) thereon which is coupled with a fluid source.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to provide the cover of Smithers with a fluid passage which is coupled with a fluid source, as clearly suggested by the French patent, to enable wetting of the windshield, to enhance cleaning thereof.

Art Unit: 1744

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary K Graham

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

GKG

08 March 2004